

Programming with an ultrafilter

Christophe Raffalli

LAMA, UMR 5127, Université Savoie Mont Blanc

PLRR 2016, Marseille

What is realizability

- A way to prove safety of type systems and programming languages.
- Building new models (independence results).
- Remark: both approaches use a different meaning of *realizing*.
- In the first case, we do not construct a model.

What is realizability

- A way to prove safety of type systems and programming languages.
- Building new models (independence results).
- Remark: both approaches use a different meaning of *realizing*.
- In the first case, we do not construct a model.
- Discovering algorithm (and getting correct implementation).

Ultrafilter axiom

Programming with the axiom

There is an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$.

- This axiom is *weak* because not any filter may be extended in an ultrafilter.
- We will do it in higher-order logic,
- using inductive and coinductive types and
- call-by-value.
- This way, we will use the program in OCaml.
- Thanks to a Decap syntax extension providing a CPS.
- As application we use Ramsey theorem.

The logic

- The individuals: naturals and infinite sets of naturals.
- The sorts ω for naturals, ζ for infinite sets, \circ for propositions and $\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$.
- Expressions: simply typed terms with
- $A \rightarrow B : \circ$, $A \wedge B : \circ$ and $A \vee B : \circ$ if $A, B : \circ$,
- $\forall^\sigma x A : \circ$, $\exists^\sigma x A : \circ$ if $x : \sigma \vdash A : \circ$,
- $\mathbb{N} : \omega \rightarrow \circ$, $\mathbb{B} : \omega \rightarrow \circ$,
- $n < m : \omega$ if $n, m : \omega$, and many other function symbols using ω and ζ .
- $A \cup_{u=v} B$ in sort \circ if $u, v : \omega$ and $A, B : \circ$ (NEW).
- Rules HOL rules except for the last connective.

Semantics

- $\llbracket \omega \rrbracket = \mathbb{N}$, $\llbracket \zeta \rrbracket = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, $\llbracket o \rrbracket = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$, $\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 = \llbracket \sigma_2 \rrbracket^{\llbracket \sigma_1 \rrbracket}$.
- $\llbracket A \rrbracket$ is therefore a set of values.
- $\llbracket A \rrbracket^\perp$ is a set of stacks, $\llbracket A \rrbracket^\perp = \{\pi \mid \forall v \in \llbracket A \rrbracket, v * \pi \in \perp\}$.
- $\llbracket A \rrbracket^{\perp\perp}$ is a set of terms, $\llbracket A \rrbracket^{\perp\perp} = \{t \mid \forall \pi \in \llbracket A \rrbracket^\perp, t * \pi \in \perp\}$.
- $\llbracket A \rightarrow B \rrbracket = \{\lambda x t \mid \forall v \in \llbracket A \rrbracket, t[x := v] \in \llbracket B \rrbracket^{\perp\perp}\}$.
- $\llbracket \forall x^\sigma A \rrbracket = \bigcap_{\varphi \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket} \llbracket A[x := \varphi] \rrbracket$.
- $\llbracket \exists x^\sigma A \rrbracket = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket} \llbracket A[x := \varphi] \rrbracket$.
- $\llbracket \mathbb{N}(n) \rrbracket = \{n\}$.
- ...
- $\llbracket A \cup_{u=v} B \rrbracket = \llbracket A \rrbracket$ if $\llbracket u \rrbracket = \llbracket v \rrbracket$, $\llbracket B \rrbracket$ otherwise.

The type of streams

- $h : \zeta \rightarrow \omega, q : \zeta \rightarrow \zeta$
- $\llbracket h \rrbracket$: the least element, $\llbracket q \rrbracket$ the other elements.
- Validates some equations: $h(s) < h(q(s)) = 1$.
- $S_0(s) := \exists X X$.
- $S_{n+1}(s) = \top \rightarrow \mathbb{N}(h(s)) \wedge S(q(s))$.
- $S(s) = \forall^\omega n S_n(s)$.
- $\Omega : (Y \lambda r \lambda n \lambda u (n, r (n + 1))) 0 \simeq_\beta \lambda u (0, \lambda u (1, \lambda u (2, \dots))) : S(\omega)$
- This definition can be generalized to $S(X, s)$.

An inductive false, and a predicate for the ultrafilter

- $\perp_0(s) := \forall X X.$
- $\perp_{n+1}(s) = \top \rightarrow \mathbb{N}(h(s)) \wedge \perp(q(s)).$
- $\perp(s) = \exists^\omega n \perp_n(s).$

Ultra filter predicate

$$\mathbb{U}(s) = \mathbb{S}(s) \cup_{s \in \mathcal{U}} \perp(s)$$

$s \in \mathcal{U} = 1$ iff $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ is in the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} chosen in the initial model.

Warning: $\perp(x) \leftrightarrow \perp$ but $\mathbb{U}(s) \leftrightarrow (\mathbb{S}(s) \cup_{s \in \mathcal{U}} \perp) !$

Ultrafilter axiom.

- Free at the equation level: $s_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ and $s_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ implies $s_1 \cap s_2 \in \mathcal{U}$.
- The equational level can not be used for programming !
- The definition of $\mathbb{U}(s)$ means s infinite.
- $\Omega : \mathbb{U}(\omega)$ is provable from $\Omega : \mathbb{S}(\omega)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$.
- $J : \forall^\zeta s_1, s_2 \mathbb{U}(s_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s_1 \cap s_2)$.
- $K : \forall^\zeta s \forall^{\omega \rightarrow \omega} c \mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{N}}(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s \upharpoonright_{c=0}) \vee \mathbb{U}(s \upharpoonright_{c=1})$.
- Comprehension $C : \forall X (\forall n \mathbb{N}(n) \rightarrow \exists p (\mathbb{N}(p) \upharpoonright_{p>n} X(p))) \leftrightarrow \exists s \mathbb{S}(X, s)$
- $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{N}}(c) := \forall^\omega n \mathbb{N}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(c(n))$.

The term for intersection

$J := \lambda s_1 \lambda s_2 \text{ let } (n_1, s'_1) = s_1() \text{ and } (n_2, s'_2) = s_2() \text{ in}$

 if $n_1 = n_2$ then $\lambda u (n_1, J s'_1 s'_2)$

 else if $n_1 < n_2$ then $J s'_1 s_2$

 else $J s_1 s'_2$

 : $\mathbb{U}(s_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s_1 \cap s_2)$

- If $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{U}$, $J : \mathbb{S}(s_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(s_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_n(s_1 \cap s_2)$ by induction on $(n, f(s_1, s_2))$ where $f(s_1, s_2)$ is the number of ignored elements.
- If $s_1, s_2 \notin \mathcal{U}$, $J : \perp_n(s_1) \rightarrow \perp_m(s_2) \rightarrow \perp(s_1 \cap s_2)$ by induction on $n + m$.
- If $s_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ and $s_2 \notin \mathcal{U}$, $J : \mathbb{S}(s_1) \rightarrow \perp_n(s_2) \rightarrow \perp(s_1 \cap s_2)$ by induction on $(n, h(s_2) - h(s_1))$.
- fourth case is symmetric

The term for separation

$$K := \lambda c \lambda s \text{ CC } \lambda k_1 (\text{Inl} (\text{CC } \lambda k_2 (k (\text{Inr} (\text{CC } \lambda k_2 L c s k_1 k_2)))))) \\ : \mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{N}}(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s \upharpoonright_{c=0}) \vee \mathbb{U}(s \upharpoonright_{c=1})$$

$$L := \lambda c \lambda s \lambda k_1 \lambda k_2 \text{ let } (n, s') = s () \text{ in}$$

$$\text{if } c(n) = 0 \text{ then } k_1 \lambda u (n, \text{CC } \lambda k'_1 L c s k'_1 k_2)$$

$$\text{else } k_2 \lambda u (n, \text{CC } \lambda k'_2 L c s k_1 k'_2)$$

- If $s \upharpoonright_{c=0} \in \mathcal{U}$, $J : \mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{N}}(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(s) \rightarrow \neg \mathbb{S}_n(s \upharpoonright_{c=0}) \rightarrow \neg \perp(s \upharpoonright_{c=1}) \rightarrow \perp$ by induction on $(n, f(s))$ where $f(s)$ is the number of elements x such that $c(x) = 1$ at the beginning of s .
- Second case $s \upharpoonright_{c=1} \in \mathcal{U}$ is symmetric.
- It is here we need $\perp(s)$.

Intuitive meaning

K is a parallel composition

$$K : \mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{N}}(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s \upharpoonright_{c=0}) \vee \mathbb{U}(s \upharpoonright_{c=1})$$

evaluates both alternatives in parallel.

J is somehow a scheduler (together with K)

$$J : \mathbb{U}(s_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(s_1 \cap s_2)$$

Trigger context switching by consuming the input streams.

Translation to OCaml : J

```
type 'a stream = unit  $\Rightarrow$  ('a * 'a stream)
```

```
let classical inter (s1:(int * 'b) stream) (s2:(int * 'a) stream) () =
  let ((n1, _), s1) = s1 () in let ((n2,x2), s2) = s2 () in
  aux2 n1 s1 n2 x2 s2
```

```
and auxL s1 n2 x2 s2 = let ((n1, _ ), s1) = s1 () in aux2 n1 s1 n2 x2 s2
```

```
and auxR n1 s1      s2 = let ((n2, x2), s2) = s2 () in aux2 n1 s1 n2 x2 s2
```

```
and aux2 n1 s1 n2 x2 s2 =
```

```
  if val (n1 < n2) then auxL s1 n2 x2 s2 else
```

```
  if val (n1 > n2) then auxR n1 s1 s2 else ((n2,x2), inter s1 s2)
```

Translation to OCaml : K

```
type ('a, 'b) sum = Inl of 'a | Inr of 'b
```

```
let classical split (c : int  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'b) sum) (s : int stream) =  
  mu k  $\rightarrow$  Inl (lazy (mu k1  $\rightarrow$   
    (Inr (lazy (mu k2  $\rightarrow$  split_aux c s k1 k2)))) k))
```

```
and split_aux (c : int  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'b) sum) s k1 k2 =
```

```
  let (n, s) = s () in
```

```
  match c n with
```

```
  | Inl x  $\rightarrow$  ((n,x), lazy (mu k1  $\rightarrow$  split_aux c s k1 k2)) k1
```

```
  | Inr x  $\rightarrow$  ((n,x), lazy (mu k2  $\rightarrow$  split_aux c s k1 k2)) k2
```

Ramsey Ultrafilter

```
open Ultrafilter
```

```
let classical omega n () = (n, omega (val(n+1)))
```

```
let classical color1 (c : int => int => (unit, unit) sum) n =  
  Ultrafilter.split (c n) (omega (val(n+1)))
```

```
let classical aux c = Ultrafilter.split (color1 c) (omega (val 0))
```

```
let classical extract (s : (int * (int * unit) stream) stream) () =  
  let ((n, s1), s2) = s () in (n, extract (Ultrafilter.inter s1 s2))
```

```
let classical ramsey c = match aux c with  
  | Inl s → Inl (extract s) | Inr s → Inr (extract s)
```

Ramsey Ultrafilter, testing !

```
let classical extract_list s n =  
  if val(n = 0) then [] else let (p,s') = s () in  
    p :: extract_list s' (val (n - 1))  
  
let classical finite_ramsey c n = match ramsey c with  
  | Inl s → Inl (extract_list s n) | Inr s → Inr (extract_list s n)  
  
let rec pr ch = function [] → () | [x] → Printf.fprintf ch "%d" x  
  | x::l → Printf.fprintf ch "%d,%a" x pr l  
  
let print = function Inl l → Printf.printf "Inl(%a)\n%!" pr l  
  | Inr l → Printf.printf "Inr(%a)\n%!" pr l  
  
let test color n = print (?finite_ramsey color n?)
```


Ramsey Ultrafilter, testing !

```
1 open Ultrafilter
2 open RamseyU
3
4 let classical color n m =
5   val (if (n + m) mod 2 = 0
6       then Inl () else Inr ())
7
8 let _ = test color 5
9
10
11
12
>> Inl(0,2,4,6,8)
>>
```

Conclusion

- Direct proof of Ramsey: faster program / larger integers
- Search among all possibilities: slower program / least integers
- Can we establish a link ?
- The program that look for all possibilities corresponds to what proof ?
- Probably the selective ultrafilter axiom.